# Toward design of a robotic companion

#### **Krzysztof Tchoń**

#### Institute of Computer Engineering, Control, and Robotics Wroclaw University of Technology (WRUT) Poland

10th ICINCO, Reykjavik, July 29-31, 2013

# **Plan of the talk**

- Robot and robotics
- Social robot
- Robotic companion
- Robot FLASH
- Design
- Control
- Performance

## What is a robot?



- Robot is an artificial human.
- Robot is a machine performing some physical and intellectual activities of a living being.

## What is robotics?



Robotics is the intelligent connection of perception to action.

Usually this connection is done by a computer.

## **Separation**









#### **Closer to humans**









## **Social robot**



A social robot is an autonomous robot able to interact and communicate with humans in a socially accepted way.



## **Social robots: gallery 2**







## **Social robots: gallery 3**





## **Robotic companion 1**



#### Companion = someone to share bread with during a journey

\_\_\_\_panis, -is (in Latin) = bread

# **Robotic companion 2**

- A social robotic helper:
- caregiver
- therapist
- escort
- coach
- tutor
- teacher
- entertainer ...

#### Challenges

- Believability (appearance, emotions, perception, communication, interaction)
- Long term companionship (rapport, theory of mind)
- Social usability (assisting, caregiving, teaching)
- Ethics (roboethics, ethics of robots)

## Companionship



## LIREC IP (2008-2012)

LIREC=LIving with Robots and intEractive Companions

Task: Build a robotic companion using available technology

# **Design: focus**

- Appearance (mechanoid, humanoid)
- Emotions (facial expressions, gestures)
- Perception (video, audio)
- Social cues (eye contact, gaze direction, utterances)
- Interaction (establishing, maintaining)



#### **Design: Unacanny valley**



# **Design: mobility + hand**



## **COSMOS** platform



## **Design: head**





#### Romek



FLASH (FLexible Autonomous Social Helper)

- Balancing platform
- Hands able to gesticulate
   WANDA: Wrut hAND for gesticulAtion

Head able to express emotions
 EMYS: EMotive headY System

## **FLASH: Appearance**



## FLASH+WANDA+EMYS









## **FLASH: In the family**











## **FLASH: Competencies**

- Balancing and navigation
- Maintaining robot-human distance
- World perception (video, audio)
- Detecting humans
- Maintaining eye contact
- Communication (verbal, non-verbal)
- Displaying emotions
- Learning

## **FLASH: Architecture**



Manager competency layer dedicated for every embodiment

## **FLASH: Platform**



## **FLASH: Dynamics**



$$q = (x, y, \theta, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \alpha),$$
  

$$\eta = (\eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3)$$
  

$$\vec{q} = F(\eta),$$
  

$$M(q)\dot{\eta} + N(q, \eta) = B\tau$$

# **FLASH: Control**

- Linear control: LQR, PP, PD
- Differential flatness
- Endogenous configuration space approach (imbalanced Jacobian, prioritarian approach)
- Optimal control approach (ACADO)
- Nonlinear model predictive control (ACADO)

## **FLASH: PD Control**



#### **FLASH: Platform 1**



## **FLASH: Platform 2**



#### **FLASH: Arms and hands 1**



#### **FLASH: Arms and hands 2**



#### FLASH: Head (EMYS) 1



#### FLASH: Head 2



## FLASH: Head 3



## **EMYS: Emotions**



# joy, fear, disgust, surprise, anger, sadness

## **FLASH: EMYS band**



# **FLASH: 3 layer control**



# **Higher level: FAtiMA**



## **FLASH + children**



#### **FLASH: just married**



#### FLASH: HRI 1



#### HRI 1: OCEAN

Openness	87%
Conscientiousness	53%
Extroversion	96%
Agreeableness	51%
Neurocity	80%

#### HRI 1: emotions

Emotion	Affect description	Affect matching	Mean	Ekman emotion recognition questionnaire
Anger	97.8%	97.6%	97.7%	93.0%
Happiness	15.9%	22.5%	19.2%	91.9%
Sadness	91.1%	95.3%	93.2%	79.7%
Surprise	46.7%			92.1%
Disgust	13.6%			78.1%
Fear	68.9%			64.0%

## FLASH: HRI 2



## **FLASH: eye fixations**



## **FLASH: ROI**



#### **FLASH: questionnaires**

Robot FLASH certainly is a nice companion	78.9
Robot FLASH likes me	57.9
His emotional reactions are very natural	52.6
I'd like to spend more time with him	84.2
FLASH looks friendly	89.5
His emotional reactions are easily readable	84.2
His presence is embarassing to me	42.1
FLASH is interested in interaction with humans	89.5
FLASH behaves similarly to me	26.3
I feel secure in his company	78.9

#### FLASH: Totally unacceptable



#### **FLASH's team**



## Conclusion

Special thanks to Jan Kedzierski, MSc, for help in preparing this presentation.

http://flash.lirec.ict.pwr.wroc.pl/

Thank you very much for your attention!